Analytics and the Editorial Office: exploring powerful data at your fingertips

> Jason Roberts, PhD Senior Partner, Origin Editorial jason@origineditorial.com @robertsjasonl

With thanks to Sherrie Hill and Dave Allen



Outline

Using visuals to make complex comparisons

- Examining Associate Editor/Editorial Board behavior
- Visualizing decisions
 - Simplifying the presentation of multiple initial decision data points
- Measurements of variance
- Tracking the behavior of reviewers
- Reporting checklist

1. Using visuals to make complex comparisons

- Great visual presentation can simplify complex messages
- Tables contain vast arrays of data for comparative purposes
 - Order and rank data
 - Provide numerical precision
 - Several data points can be concatenated in to one table
 - Use conditional formatting to detect thresholds/KPI's
- Figures might be usefully deployed to reveal trends
 - Aid interpretation by revealing patterns
 - Act as an interpretative shortcut if presentation time is limited

Using visuals to make complex comparisons

- Going to explore smart visual presentation and strong table design to better highlight data
- Will use Editorial Board data to show novel techniques to consider
- Examples are both summary reports and operational reports
 - Could be used to summarize comparative performance
 - Reports that could be delivered to the Editorial Board themselves to improve their performance

Using visuals to make complex comparisons

Will ask the following questions of the data while revealing a presentation technique

How best can you identify if an Editor is deviating from the mean? How to report to Editors on reviewers that are running late?

Using conditional formatting in EAR and Excel

Is there a simple visual technique to compare editor performance?

Using bubble charts

Data Elements in Example Table

- Associate Editor Name
- Total MSS Completed FULL Peer Review
- MSS Undergoing Peer Review
- MSS Returned by AE without Peer Review
- Time (Days) from Assignment to Recommendation Posted
- Maximum Days from Assignment to Recommendation Posting
- Mean Number of Reviewers Invited
- Maximum Number of Reviewers Invited
- Mean Number of Reviewers Agreed

- Count of Accept Recommendations
- Count of Minor Revision Recommendations
- Count of Major Revision Recommendations
- Count of Reject Recommendations
- Total Decisions
- % Rejected

Using visuals to make complex comparisons

Associate Editor	Total	Completed FULL Peer Review	Undergoing Peer Review	Returned by AE without Peer Review	Time (Da Assignn Recomme Posi	Time (Days) from Assignment to Recommendation		Maximum Number of Reviewers Invited	Mean Number of Reviewers Agreed	Count of Accept Recommen dations	Count of Minor Revision Recommen dations	Count of Major Revision Recommen dations	Count of Reject Recommen dations	Total Decisions	% Rejected
4	11	2	2	7	29.	Posted		7	2.00				9	9	100%
В	6	5		1	22.	29.01	1	5	2.80		3	-	3	6	50%
	27	9 20	1	2	23.	22.70	⊨₽-	9	2.11		4	3 18	4	25	36%
E	17	17		0	32.	22.70	Ηt	13	2.06		7	18	9	17	53%
F	23	16	1	6	28.	23.86	I T	6	2.19		6		16	22	73%
G	7	6	1		32.	28.36		6	2.00		1		5	6	83%
H	16	12		4	27.	28.30	44-	10	2.25		7	1	8	16	50%
	10	1	1	2	30.	32.69	H	8	2.14		6		1	/	14%
K	2	2		2	33.	28.88	٦H	5	1.85		1		1	2	50%
L	12	10	1	1	22.	20.00	Нt	13	2.20		7	1	2	10	20%
Μ	2	2			15.	32.78		4	2.00		2			2	0%
N	6	5		1	26.	27.87		4	2.60		2		4	6	67%
D	8	5		3	27.	27.07	┝╫╴	7	2.40		5		3	8	38%
p N	10	12	1	3	26.	30.63		9	2.33		7	1	8 14	15	53% 78%
R	29	15	1	13	33.	31 37		13	2.00		9	-	19	28	68%
S	15	11	1	3	32.	51.57	Нt	10	2.55		6	2	6	14	43%
Г	30	27	2	1	25.	33.13		11	2.15		7	1	19	27	70%
Means and Totals	13.80	10.35			27.	22.48		8.45	2.17		_				51%
						15.51									
						26.54									
						27.98									
						26.35									
						21.00									
						33.39									
					_	32.62									
						25.93									
						27.65									

Conditional formatting in EAR

Journal of Neuro-Ophthalmology		en Editorial Manager®	
IOME • LOGOUT • HELP • REGISTER • UPDATE MY INFORMATION • JO MAIN MENU • CONTACT US • SUBMIT A MANUSCRIPT • INSTRUCTIONS FOR	URNAL OVERVIEW AUTHORS • PRIVACY	Go to: Quick Access Role: Managing Editor - Usern	ame: jroberts
Data Sources Fields Summary Chart Gauge Mis	c Style Filters Pro	eview	
Report List New Save Save As GPrint	i 🖳 🗷 🗐 😿	🔄 Results 1000 🔽 🖂 SFTP 📰	
Records			
Field	Description	Sort VG A Function	Format
Manuscript Number	 Manuscript Number 	Group	···· 🔽 🔀 🛱 🋱 🇘
Article Title	▼ Article Title	Group	💌 🔀 🚍 🛱 🌣 🗅
Article Type	 Article Type 	Group	💌 🔀 🚍 🌞 🗘
Section/Category Name	 Section/Category Nan 	Group	💌 🔀 🖻 🛱 🌣 🗅
Reviewer Last Name	 Reviewer Last Name 	Group	🔽 🔀 🗟 🛱 🗘
E-mail Address	E-mail Address	Group	··· 🔽 🔀 🖻 🛱 🌣 🗅
Reviewer Role	Reviewer Role	Group	
Agree Date	 Days Old(Agree Date) 	🗸 🗌 🗌 Days Old 🔽	0,000 🔽 🔀 🛸 🗘
Editorial Status	 Editorial Status 	Group	···· * ‡
	•		

er Sort (z-a) . Italic . Bold . Width . Label Justification . Value Justification . Subreport Drill-Down Style Vulu Subtotal Function Gradient Cells Shading Text Highlight 0 to 14:green;15 to 21:yellow;22 to 1000000:red Value Ranges	
ItalicBoldWidthLabel JustificationNameValue JustificationSubreportDrill-Down StyleUrlSubtotal FunctionGradient Cells ShadingText HighlightOto 14:green;15 to 21:yellow;22 to 1000000:redValue Ranges	
Bold Width Label Justification Name Label Justification Value Justification Subreport Jrill-Down Style Url Subtotal Function (Default) Text Highlight Cell Highlight O to 14:green;15 to 21:yellow;22 to 1000000:red	
NameWidthImage: Constraint of the second of the seco	
Name Label Justification Ne Value Justification Value Justification Subreport Drill-Down Style Vrl Subtotal Function (Default) Gradient Cells Shading Text Highlight 0 to 14:green;15 to 21:yellow;22 to 1000000:red Value Ranges	
New Subscription Subreport Drill-Down Style Drill-Down Style Url Subtotal Function (Default) Text Highlight Cell Highlight 0 to 14:green;15 to 21:yellow;22 to 1000000:red	
Subreport Drill-Down Style Url Subtotal Function (Default) Gradient Cells Shading Text Highlight Pivot Cell Highlight Value Ranges	
Drill-Down Style Url Subtotal Function (Default) Gradient Cells Shading Text Highlight Pivot Cell Highlight 0 to 14:green;15 to 21:yellow;22 to 1000000:red	
Drill-Down Style Url Subtotal Function (Default) Gradient Cells Shading Text Highlight Cell Highlight 0 to 14:green;15 to 21:yellow;22 to 1000000:red	
Vrl Subtotal Function Gradient Cells Shading Text Highlight Pivot Cell Highlight 0 to 14:green;15 to 21:yellow;22 to 1000000:red	
Subtotal Function (Default) Gradient Cells Shading Text Highlight Cell Highlight 0 to 14:green;15 to 21:yellow;22 to 1000000:red	
Subtotal Pulletion (belauit) Gradient Cells Shading	
Gradient Cells Shading Text Highlight Pivot Cell Highlight 0 to 14:green;15 to 21:yellow;22 to 1000000:red Value Ranges	
Text Highlight 0 to 14:green;15 to 21:yellow;22 to 1000000:red Value Ranges 0 to 14:green;15 to 21:yellow;22 to 1000000:red	
Pivot Cell Highlight 0 to 14:green;15 to 21:yellow;22 to 1000000:red Value Ranges	
Value Ranges	
OK	

Conditional formatting in EAR

Records											
Field	Description	Sort VG	Α	Function		Format					
Manuscript Number	Manuscript Number	v	\Box	Group	•		×	-	#	₽	¢
Article Title	Article Title		\Box	Group	•	🔻	×	1	#	₽	¢
Article Type	Article Type		\Box	Group	•		×	1	#	₽	¢
Section/Category Name	Section/Category Nan		\Box	Group	•	🔻	×	1	#	₽	¢
Reviewer Last Name	Reviewer Last Name		\Box	Group	•		×	1	#	₽	¢
E-mail Address	E-mail Address		\Box	Group	•		×	1	#	₽	¢
Reviewer Role	Reviewer Role		\Box	Group	•		×	1	#	₽	¢
Agree Date	Days Old(Agree Date)	v	\Box	Days Old	•	0,000 🔻	×			-	¢
Agree Date	Agree Date		\Box	Group	•	Short Date			1	₽	¢
Editorial Status	Editorial Status		\Box	Group	•		×	1	#	Ф	¢
					Ŧ		X	1	-	₽	‡



Reviewer Role	Days Old(Agree Date)	Agree Date	Editorial Status
Reviewer	5	6/12/2019	Under Review
Reviewer	6	6/11/2019	Under Review
Reviewer	79	3/30/2019	Under Review
Reviewer	94	3/15/2019	Under Review
Reviewer	18	5/30/2019	Under Review
Reviewer	18	5/30/2019	Under Review

_	New Fo	ormatting	Rule					
			New Formattin	g Rule				
Style:	Classic	0						
			New Formatt	ing Rule				
			New Fo	ormatting Rule			2	2018.)
Fo Fo	Style		N	low Formatting	n Pule			
✓ Fo				iew Formatting	g Kule			
Fo			Man	age Rules				
Rule	(applied in order s	shown)	Format	Applies to			Stop if t	true
Abo		showing	AaBbCcVv7z	Total Paper	c Handlad'itite	61627 K		liue
1.00	Are Areinge		Aubbeergee	Total Paper	s nanuleu : \$1\$0.	31327	• •	
+	- Edit Rule					Cancel		
	Luit Ruite.					Cancer		```
							3.50	

Time (Days) from Assignment to Recommendation Posted
29.01
22.70
23.86
28.36
32.69
28.88
32.78
27.87
30.63
31.37
33.13
22.48
15.51
26.54
27.98
26.35
21.00
33.39
32.62
25.93
27.65



Chart from https://online.visual-paradigm.com/



[#] manuscripts assigned in year YYYY

2. Visualizing Decisions

Multiple ways to break down decision data

- Absolute numbers and percentages by decision type
- By role most obviously editors
- Could look at recommendations by reviewer
- Even measure how much they deviate from the editor's decision
- By country
- By article type

Clear visuals

- Allow for quick interpretation > fuel more granular follow up
- Use scale, proportion and comparison to reveal more
- Trends are more obvious
- Eye-catching design draws attention

Sankey Chart to Visualize Decisions







Initial Decisions



Tutorial

100% stacked bar chart





MANUSCRIPT TYPES INCLUDED			TIME PERIOD
Clinical Focus	 Introduction 	• Letter to the Editor	2018
Research Article	Research Note	• Review	
Review Article	 Special Report 	• Viewpoint	

3. Measurements of variance

Poor use of averages

- No measurement of variance need to be aware of the spread of data
 - No mention of standard deviations when using the mean
 - Not stating the range of data
 - For medians: consider showing the *interquartile range*
- If data are skewed by outliers, calculate the median value and report the *interquartile range*
- Failure to look at the measure of variance over time, which might be a good indicator of performance consistency

Reviewer Turnaround Time and the Spread of Data

Field	Description	Sort V	G A	Function		Format					
Reviewer First Name	Reviewer First Name			Group	•		• ×	<u>+</u>	#	\$ _3	¢.
Reviewer Last Name	Reviewer Last Name			Group	•		- ×	-	-	‡ :	¢
Agree Date	Year(Agree Date)		•	Group(Year)	•		- ×	_	4	‡ :	‡
Days between Agreement and Review Completion	 Days between Agreem 			Group	•	0,000	- ×	-	-	‡ :	‡
	•				•		- ×	4	#	‡ :	¢

Filter on Agree Date

	Total	Mean	Maximum	
Year	Reviews	Turnaround	Turnaround	SD
2016	342	14.21	136	17.25
2017	344	8.84	47	8.34
2018	347	8.99	43	7.92

Box plots to visualize the spread of data

Box plots enable quick visual comparison
Can show mean and median graphically
The charts that follow were generated for free at:

https://plot.ly/create/box-plot/#/





4. Tracking Reviewer Behavior

Most of us just report:

- Time to complete review
- Number of reviews completed
- Conversion rate of number of invitations into agreements to review

Consider also looking at:

- The effectiveness of reviewer reminders
- How speedily to reviewers respond +/- to invitations to review

Allocated Review Time: **14 d** Median: **11 d** IQR: **15** N=**432** % of reviews returned by deadline: **76.2%**







	Cumulative
Days	percentage
0	16.25%
1	53.15%
2	64.24%
3	77.82%
4	86.23%
5	90.82%
6	94.84%
7	97.51%
8	98.28%
9	98.66%
10	98.85%
11	99.43%
12	99.81%
17	100.00%



X axis – days since invitation Y axis – % of invitations with response

Proportion of invitations to reviewers

with agree/decline responses



Days since invitation

Reporting Checklist

 Define precisely your study question and determine what study items to include and exclude

✓ Think about potential confounders that could skew your data

✓ Do you have sufficient data to report with confidence?

- Document all your reporting parameters
- ✓ Determine the most effective way to present your data

Generating Reports: documenting what you did

- Note what data sets you included/excluded
- Record the time period studied
- What did you do with blank cells?
- Were any outliers removed?
- Highlight if an intervention took place, especially if data is subsequently transformed
- Document who created/ran report and on what day
- Write down the name of the report used and, if relevant, the software used to generate a visual data presentation

The Origin Reporting Tool

- Suite of optimally designed charts and tables to quickly summarize data
 - Charts that correctly report the data
 - Developed around feedback from what editorial offices/editors want to see
- Use extraction reports, upload .csv file, pick your chart or table and then generate
- Toggle on/off data parameters results recalculated immediately
- Allows user to spend time analyzing and interpreting, not creating report and engineering the data
- No battling Excel!

Stats	
To get started, select a	Report Type from the data selection window on the left side of the screen, then click Generate.
, e get eta eta eta eta eta eta eta eta eta e	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Data set name:	Origin Reports - Submissions & Decisions.csv
Date range:	05/03/2002 to 06/07/2019

In Brief:

Submissions Previous 5 years	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019 YTD
Total Submissions	156	191	215	323	117
Number of Countries with Submissions	23	27	29	33	24
Initial Decisions Previous 5 years	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019 YTD
Total Initial Decisions Rendered	173	172	225	315	144
Total Number of Reject Initial Decisions	25	46	50	79	20
Overall Reject Rate	14.45%	26.74%	22.22%	25.08%	13.89%





TIME PERIOD

MANUSCRIPT TYPES INCLUDED		TIME PERIOD	Decision
Clinical Focus Letter to the Editor Research Forum Review Special Issue Tutorial	Introduction Reareth Article Reareth Note Review Article Special Report Viewpoint	01/01/14 to 12/31/18	Accept E ditor Immediate Accept Manuscript Minor Revision Major Revision Reject E ditor Immediate Reject Manuscript



Submissions by Country

