Welcome to EMUG 2015







Let's Discuss

Janet Swatski Business Systems Analyst jswatski@ariessys.com

Stacey Lavelle Business Systems Analyst slavelle@ariessys.com

6/23/2015 2

Agenda

- Discussion Forums
 - The Basics
 - Sample Use Cases
 - Initiating a Discussion
 - Viewing/Participating in Discussion
 - Managing a Discussion
 - Brief Case Study: PLOS Use of Discussions
 - Administration (Permissions, Letters, Topic Template Configuration, Automatic Initiation)



The Basics



- Tool for conducting a "side-bar" conversation
- Initiate at any point in workflow no restrictions
- Solicit opinions or feedback from Editors outside the decision chain
- Can even be done before any Editors are assigned:
 - Initial consult
 - Second opinion
 - Better suited for another publication
 - Outright reject

The Basics



- Multiple "threads" for a single submission
 - Different Topics
 - Different Participants
- Pre-configured Topic Templates
 - Pre-populate default Initial Comments
 - Set up default settings for different Topics

Sample Use Cases

• General questions about a new submission

- Ethical concerns
- Conflict of interest
- Incorrect classification (e.g. submitted as Clinical Trial but is it really a Research Article)
- Who is the best Editor to handle this paper?

Sample Use Cases

- Editors consulting with each other
 - Do we need more peer review?
 - Major revisions were made, does the new data table match the mission statement?
 - Decision was rescinded for these reasons...please invite more Reviewers to review the revised manuscript
 - Contemplate appeals
- Offer comment on Draft Decision Letter
 - Should any comments be removed
 - Should any additional information be provided

Starting a Discussion

New Submissions - Mary François Smith, PhD

Contents: These are the new submissions that require a Technical Check. Use the up/down arrows to change the sort order.

Page: 1 of 1 (6 total submissions)

Display 100 \

View Submission Details V Initiate Discussion History Technical Check View Reference Checking Results File Inventory Edit Submission Send Back to Author Remove Submission Classifications Set Final Disposition Initiate Production Google Scholar Title Search Author ORCID profile Send E-mail Linked Submissions		Manuscript Number ▲▼	Article Type	Section/ Category	Article Title ▲▼	Author Name ▲▼
	Details Initiate Discussion History Technical Check View Reference Checking Results File Inventory Edit Submission Send Back to Author Remove Submission Classifications Set Final Disposition Initiate Production Google Scholar Title Search Author ORCID profile Send E-mail				Demonstration of Discussions	Sally Editor

Top of Initiate Discussion Page



Bottom of Initiate Discussion Page

ect	Editor Role	Editor Name	Current Assignments	View Reviews and Comments	Download Files(source and companion)	View Draft Decision Letter	# Classification Matches	Classification Matches	Availabl during next 300 days
]	Wendys Test Editor	Candy Cane	0				<u>0</u>		Yes
]	UptoDate Report Editor	John Garçon, MD 🕅	0				<u>0</u>		Yes
]]	Typesetter - old	George Allen 🕅	0				<u>0</u>		Yes
]	Typesetter	Nicki Cat	0				<u>0</u>		Yes
]	Typesetter	John Smith	0				Q		Yes
]	Test Editor	Jane Smith	1				Q		Yes
]	Test Editor	Paul Hewson	2	 Image: A start of the start of			٥		Yes
-	Staff Editor	Arthur Author	0				<u>0</u>		Yes
]	Review Article Editor	<u>clevelandeditor</u>	3				<u>0</u>		Yes
]	Reprint Vendor	Joe Yew	0				<u>0</u>		Yes
Page: 1 of 9 (81 total candidates) $1 \ge 3 \le 5 \le 7 \ge 9 >> >1$ Display 10 v results per page.									
Cancel Start Discussion without Sending Letters Proceed To Customize Letters									

Customize Letter to Participants

Preview Letter - Discussion Forum Invitation				
To permanently save this letter, close this window and click 'Save' on the Customize Letter page.				
Close				
Dear Editor, I invite you to participate in a discussion for a specialized paper where we need a second opinion. The topic is: Please start a discussion about the dicussion topic.				
The forum can be reached at: %DISCUSSION_DEEP_LINK% Thank you,				
The Editorial Staff for the initiating editor				
Close				

Participant Access to Discussion

Participants can access a Discussion in two ways Via a Deep Link in a letter

From:	em.demobh110.0.3b4333.cbe28493@editorialmanager.com on behalf of Sent: Wed 5/21/2014 11:57 Al Betsy 11.0 <no-reply@editorialmanager.com></no-reply@editorialmanager.com>	1
To:	Betsy Hopkins	
Cc:		
Subject:	Invitation to a Discussion Forum - [EMID:fb011324a46b663e]	
Dear Edi		201
I invite y	you to participate in a discussion for a specialized paper where we need a second opinion.	
The topi Please st	c is: art a discussion about the dicussion topic.	
The foru	im can be reached at:	
http://de	mobh110.edmgr.com/l.asp?i=3356&1=3OEQEIOH	
Thank y	ou,	
The Edit	torial Staff for the initiating editor	

Participant Access to Discussion

- Participants can access a Discussion in two ways
 - Via the "Submissions with Active Discussions" folder on the Editorial Main Menu

Staff Editor Main	Search Search Submissions Search People
Menu	Editor 'To-Do' List
	My Pending Assignments (2)
	New Assignments (0)
	Submissions with Required Reviews Complete (0)
	Submissions Requiring Additional Reviewers (0)
	Submissions with One or More Late Reviews (0)
	Submissions with Active Discussions (2)
	Reviewers Invited - No Response (0)
	Submissions Under Review (0)

Submissions with Active Discussions Folder

- Multiple topics indicated in Submissions with Active Discussions folder
- Users see only threads with which they are associated

Submissions with A	Page: 1 of 1 (1	1 total subm	issions)		Display 50 🔽 results per page.									
Action A	Manuscript Number ▲▼	Article Type ▲ ▼	Article Title ▲ ▼	Corresponding Author	Торіс	Date of Last Post		Discussion Initiator	Editorial Status Cate	Current Editorial Status				
Discussions View Submission	STACEYDEV111-		Lyme Disease	Stacey Lavelle 🏹	Initial Consultation	Jun 04, 2015	May 12, 2014	José Ignacio Ed García- Plazaola, M.D. 🕅	May 09,	Editor				
Details Send E-mail	D-14-00001	Clinical	in Adolescents			\$@	\$@	n Adolescents \$@	Suggest Reviewers	May 12, 2014	May 12, 2014	José Ignacio Ed García- Plazaola, M.D. 🕅	2014	Invited
Discussions View Submission Details Send E-mail	STACEYDEV100- D-12-00009	Ethics	Testing the Editor Decline Reasons October 2012	Jiminy Cricket 🕅	Initial Consult	May 16, 2013	May 16, 2013	José Ignacio Ed García- Plazaola, M.D. V	Oct 04, 2012	Editor Invited				

Participant View



Participant View



Initiator (Manage) View

		"Cance	Demor	on for Manus Sally Edi Instration of ave and Close	tor	ions"		nd the cussion
hese are the custom instru	ctions for		bmission	File Invento	ory A	dd/Edit Submission	Flags Details	1
Topic: Second Discussion Topic								View/Print All
				Participant ▲ ▼	Comment	5		Date ▲ V
				Candy Cane	My Comme	nt has been entere	d here.	Dec 10 201 01:23PM
			Post	Mary François Smith, PhD	Please star	t a discussion about	t the dicussion topic.	Dec 09 2013 04:46PM
Par	ite N ticip	/lore	Vie	Add Participant		Download Files	view Draft Decision Le	etter
Participant Par			Vie			Download Files \	/iew Draft Decision Lo	
Participant Par George Allen V	ticip			w Reviews and Co		Download Files	/iew Draft Decision Lo	Send E-ma
Participant Seorge Allen 🕅 Candy Cane 🕅 Vicki Cat 🕅	• •	ants _{.t}		w Reviews and Co		Download Files \ 	/iew Draft Decision Lo	<u>Send E-mai</u>
	• •	ants _{.t}		w Reviews and Co		Download Files \ 	/iew Draft Decision Le	etter Send E-mai Send E-mai Send E-mai Send E-mai

Discussion Fields in Search Submissions

	Remove Search D	Definition Edit Selected Search Definition Run Selected Search Definition	
Help w		Special Character Value Options Advanced Criteria	
	Criterion Manuscript Number Classification Description Production Notes Editorial Status Editorial Status Date Initial Date Submitted Final Decision Date First Author First Name Any Author Last Name Reviewer First Name Reviewer Last Name Handling Editor First Name Handling Editor First Name Assigned Editor First Name Assigned Editor First Name Final Disposition Term Outputs Submit Sub	Is/Is not Discussion Topic Image: Second Status Discussion Topic Initiated Discussion Topic Initiator First Name Discussion Topic Initiator First Name Discussion Topic Initiator Last Name Discussion Topic Post Date Discussion Topic Posted By First Name Discussion Topic Posted By Last Name Discussion Status Discussion Status t of criteria in teria, the quillations, use t Discussion Participant First Name	
	Discussion Topic Discussion Comments Date Discussion Topic Initiated Discussion Topic Initiator First Name Discussion Topic Initiator Last Name Last Discussion Topic Post Date Discussion Topic Posted By First Name Discussion Topic Posted By Last Name Discussion Status Discussion Participant First Name Discussion Participant Last Name	ne AND and Control of the second seco	• • • 1

First-Hand User Experience

- Helen Atkins, Acting Publisher at PLOS
- Discussion features we like at PLOS:
 - Communicate with many different parties associated with the MS, both internal and external
 - Choose who participates in each discussion
 - Open many discussions per MS
 - Create standard topics, which can vary for each journal

First-Hand User Experience





Editor RoleManager Permissions

- Any Editor can be invited to participate in a Discussion
- Further permissions allow Editor Roles to:
 - View All Discussions regardless of participation
 - Initiate and Manage "their own" Discussions
 - Initiate and Manage ALL Discussions

 Users who have "carte blanche" access to all discussions started by self or others.

Discussion Forums

- View All Discussions
- Initiate and Manage Discussions
 - My Discussions Only
 - All Discussions

Letters

- Letters in the "Discussion Forum" letter family are used in three ways:
 - Invitations to Participate
 - Notifications of Posts
 - o Discussion-related "Ad Hoc" letters
- Notifications of Posts can either go to:
 - o Discussion Initiator Only
 - o All Active Participants
- Can be configured to not send letters if you choose
- Three merge fields
 - %INITIAL_DISCUSSION_POST%
 - %DISCUSSION_POST%
 - %DISCUSSION_DEEP_LINK%

Topic Templates

- Use Topic Templates for:
 - High submission volumes
 - Routine discussions for a particular purpose(s) at consistent points in the workflow
- Save Editors time when initiating a discussion
- Use to control/restrict what Editors type in the Initial Comments box
- Using Topic Templates is Optional
 - All publications have one Topic Template Discussions can be based on this one Template if desired

Topic Templates

Add Discussion Topic Template

Below you may enter the text that will be pre-populated on the Initiate Discussion page when users select this template. (more...)

Discussion Topic Template Name	Insert Special Charac
	Second Opinion - Outright Reject?
'Initiate Discussion' Page Custom Instructions	
Торіс	Second Opinion
	Allow user to modify Topic name when initiating a discussion
Initial Comments	Please let us know within 2 business days if you think this paper meets the stringent criteria for our publication. If yes, please suggest the best Editor to handle this new subject mater. If not, is it better suited for one of our sister publications? If so, please name the publication for which this paper would be better suited.
	Allow user to modify Initial Comments when initiating a discussion
Default Participant Permissions	View Reviews and Comments Download Files (source and companion) View Draft Decision Letter
Access to 'Details' Link	 Display 'Details' link in Submissions with Active Discussions folder and on Discussion page. Select the Details Page Layout that participants should see if they are not in the Editor chain and do not have RoleManager permission to 'View All Submissions' or 'Search All Manuscripts.' Editorial Details Layout Configured for the Editor's Role
Discussion Letters	Select the Letter to send asking Editors to participate: None
	Select the notification letter to send when comments are posted: None Notify discussion initiator only Notify all active participants

PolicyManager

DiscussionForums

Configure
 Discussion
 Topic
 Templates

Automatic Initiation

• Discussions may be automatically launched for specified Article Types when Editor is invited and agrees to handle paper

	Configure Aut	tomatic Discussion Initiation	
Discussion Automatically'. Then se	lect one or more Editor Roles and a discu	iscussion automatically for an ActionManager events ssion Topic Template. A discussion is initiated on permission to initiate and manage discussions. V	ly if a user with one of these Editor Roles
	c	Cancel Submit	
	ActionManager Event	Editor Agree to Assignment	
	Article Type		
	Clinical	Initiate Discussion Automatically Editor Roles: Select All Associate Editor Deputy Editor Editorial Assistant Editor-in-Chief Topic Template: Please Choose a Topic Template	Clear All
	Research	Initiate Discussion Automatically Editor Roles: Select All Associate Editor Deputy Editor Editorial Assistant	Clear All



• • •

A New Type of Discussion

Agenda

Reviewer Consultation Discussion

- What is it?
- Reviewer/Editor Views
- Automated
- Participant Status
- Merge Fields & Letters
- Configuration
- Possible Future Enhancements



Why a New Discussion Type?

- Currently, only Editors can participate in discussions
- However, some publications, especially those using Open Peer Review workflows, would like Reviewers to be able to participate
 - The new type allows Reviewers and Editors to discuss a submission openly
 - New type is independent of EM's *Open Peer Review* functionality, but can be used together with it

New Reviewer Consultation Type

- *Reviewer Consultation* the new discussion type
 - All Reviewers who have completed a review for a submission can participate in a discussion for it, along with the Editors assigned to the submission
- *Editor Consultation* all pre-existing discussions are now of this type
 - Only Editors can participate

Reviewer Consultation – as seen by Reviewer

Discussion for Manuscript Number: JANETDEV120-D-15-00003 Maney M. Alexander, PhD "The Roses are Red Theory"								
Close								
Hi Reviewer! Please participate in the discussion for this submission. (This text is customizable.)								
View Submission	View Revie	wer Comments						
Topic: Editors & Reviewers Forum Comments:			/iew/Print All					
Enter new comment here	Participant ▲ ▼	Comments	Date ▲ V					
and click Post button	Twink E. Jonesey, PhD	I will be on sabbatical for 4 months starting Feb 2, 2015	Dec 12 2014 04:45PM					
	Ginger Rabelais (Reviewer 2)	I agree with Jorge	Dec 03 2014 11:05AM					
Post	Jorge M. Garcia, PhD (Reviewer 1)	This a formidable task	Dec 03 2014 11:05AM					
	Frank McDougall	This Corresponding Author for this submission is going on a research trip to Antarctica starting April 2015 and may be unavailable for periods of time (more)	Dec 03 2014 11:04AM					
	Twink E. Jonesey, PhD	This one is on a short timetable.	Dec 01 2014 03:03PM					

Reviewer Consultation – as seen by Editor

Discussion for Manuscript Number: JANETDEV120-D-15-00003 Maney M. Alexander, PhD "The Roses are Red Theory"							
Close							
	Hi Editor! Please participate in the discussion for this submission. (This text is customizable.) View Submission Add/Edit Submission Flags Details 🏹 @ 🏈						
Topic: Editors & Reviewers Forum Comments:			/iew/Print All				
	Participant ▲ ▼	Comments	Date ▲ V				
	Twink E. Jonesey, PhD	I will be on sabbatical for 4 months starting Feb 2, 2015	Dec 12 2014 04:45PM				
	Ginger Rabelais (Reviewer 2)	I agree with Jorge	Dec 04 2014 12:55PM				
	Jorge M. Garcia, PhD (Reviewer 1)	This a formidable task	Dec 03 2014 11:05AM				
	Frank McDougall	This Corresponding Author for this submission is going on a research trip to Antarctica starting April 2015 and may be unavailable for periods of time (more)	Dec 03 2014 11:04AM				
	Twink E. Jonesey, PhD	This one is on a short timetable.	Dec 01 2014 03:03PM				

Editor Participant has 'View All Discussions' permission but not 'Manage' permission

• New Reviewer Participants grid

			Zel	da Frye McDo "Antarctic Ca	ougall	, PhD s''			
				Close					
eminding you to pleas	se participate	in the discussion f	or this subm	nission. (I am custo	omizable	e.)			
	Participan	t Summary	View Submis	ssion Add/Ed	dit Subm	nission Flags	Details 🕅 (a 👾 0	
opic: Reviewers du Jo	ur								
comments:									View/Print
				Participant ▲▼ Comments					Date ▲ V
				Mary Jones, PhD	Hey, when	I am Mary Jo a discussion	nes and I'm testin is (more)	ig what happe	ens Jan 13 20 12:54PM
				Ginger Rabelais (Reviewer 1)	Take	it to the mo	untain.		Jan 12 20 03:39PM
			Post	Twink E. Jonesey, PhD	Hey,	I'm an EIC.			Jan 06 20 03:37PM
				Edward M. Browd	dy Take	the A-Train			Jan 05 20 02:36PM
				Mary Jones, PhD	D Let's	roll!			Jan 04 20 01:35PM
Editor Participar	nts								
Editor Participant	nts	Role	# D 1			Participant Status	View Reviews	Download	View Draft
Editor Participant ▲ ▼		Role	# Posts	Latest Post Jan 13 2015 12:5		Participant Status ▲▼ Active	View Reviews and Comments	Download Files √	View Draft Decision Letter
Editor Participant					4PM	Status ∆▼	and Comments	Files	Decision Letter
Editor Participant	₩ no 177 # ♣	Managing Editor	1	Jan 13 2015 12:5	4PM 7PM	Active	and Comments	Files	Decision Letter ✓
Editor Participant	₩ no 177 # ♣	Managing Editor Editor-in-Chief	1	Jan 13 2015 12:5 Jan 06 2015 03:3	4PM 7PM	Active	and Comments	Files	Decision Letter ✓
Editor Participant	₩ nd \7 # ♣ ₩ ♣	Managing Editor Editor-in-Chief	1	Jan 13 2015 12:5 Jan 06 2015 03:3	4PM 7PM	Active	and Comments	Files	Decision Letter ✓
Editor Participant	₩ nd \7 # ♣ ₩ ♣	Managing Editor Editor-in-Chief	1	Jan 13 2015 12:5 Jan 06 2015 03:3 Jan 05 2015 02:36F	:4РМ :7РМ	Status ▲▼ Active Inactive	and Comments	Files	Decision Letter
Editor Participant	₩ nD \\ # ♣ ₩ ♣	Managing Editor Editor-in-Chief Associate Editor	1 1 2	Jan 13 2015 12:5 Jan 06 2015 03:3 Jan 05 2015 02:36P	4PM 7PM	Status ▲▼ Active Inactive	and Comments	Files ✓ ✓ Status of Mosl Review Assign	Decision Letter
Editor Participant	₩ nd \7 # ♣ ₩ ♣	Managing Editor Editor-in-Chief Associate Editor # Posts Lates	1 1 2 t Post 2 2015	Jan 13 2015 12:5 Jan 06 2015 03:3 Jan 05 2015 02:36P Participant Re	4PM 7PM 2010	Status ▲▼ Active Active Inactive Comm Subn Versi	and Comments	Files √ √	Decision Letter
Editor Participant	ipants Role	Managing Editor Editor-in-Chief Associate Editor # Posts Lates	1 1 2 t Post 2 2015	Jan 13 2015 12:5 Jan 06 2015 03:3 Jan 05 2015 02:36P Participant Status	4PM 7PM ?/ ?/ ?/ ?/ ?/ ?/ ?/ ?/ ?/ ?/ ?/ ?/ ?/	Active Active Active Inactive Comp Versi Versi	and Comments	Files	Decision Letter
Editor Participant ▲ ♥ Mary Jones, PhD ♥ Twink E. Jonesey, Ph Edward M. Browdy ♥ ■ Reviewer Partici Reviewer Participant ▲ ♥ Ginger Rabelais ♥ Ron Raptor ∰	ipants Role Reviewer	Managing Editor Editor-in-Chief Associate Editor # Posts Lates 1 Jan 1 03:39	1 1 2 t Post 2 2015	Jan 13 2015 12:5 Jan 06 2015 03:3 Jan 05 2015 02:36P Participant Status Active	4PM 7PM ?// ?// ?// ?// ?// ?// ?// ?// ?// ?/	Status A <tive Active Active Inactive Comm Subm Versi Versi versi Providential Ner 2</tive 	and Comments	Files	Decision Letter
Editor Participant ▲ ♥ Mary Jones, PhD ♥ Twink E. Jonesey, Ph Edward M. Browdy ♥ ■ Reviewer Partici Reviewer Participant ▲ ♥ Ginger Rabelais ♥ Ron Raptor ∰ Vanessa Wright ∰	ipants Reviewer Reviewer	Managing Editor Editor-in-Chief Associate Editor Posts Lates 1 Jan 11 03:39 0	1 1 2 t Post 2 2015	Jan 13 2015 12:5 Jan 06 2015 03:3 Jan 05 2015 02:36P Participant Re Status Active Active	viewer mber Review	Active Active Active Inactive Subn Versi ver 1 wer 2 wer 4	and Comments	Files Files Katus of Most Review Assign R2: Review Co R1: Declined	Decision Letter
Editor Participant	ipants Reviewer Reviewer Reviewer Statistical	Managing Editor Editor-in-Chief Associate Editor	1 1 2 t Post 2 2015	Jan 13 2015 12:5 Jan 06 2015 03:3 Jan 05 2015 02:36P Participant Status ▲ W Active Active	4PM 7PM 2010 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 201	Status A Active Active Inactive Inactive Versit versit rer 1 rer 4 er 5	and Comments	Files	Decision Letter
Editor Participant	ipants Role Reviewer Reviewer Reviewer Statistical Reviewer	Managing Editor Editor-in-Chief Associate Editor #Posts Lates 0 1 Jan 1 03:39 0 0	1 1 2 t Post 2 2015	Jan 13 2015 12:5 Jan 06 2015 03:3 Jan 05 2015 02:36P	APM TPM TPM TPM TPM TPM TPM TPM T	Status A Active Active Inactive Inactive Versit versit rer 1 rer 4 er 5	and Comments	Files	Decision Letter

Reviewer – Access to Discussion

- Reviewer can access discussion from:
 - o 'Discussion' Action link in Reviewer folders



- Deep link in letter sent when Reviewer submits a complete review (ActionManager event 'Review Assignment Completed')
- Deep link in discussion notification letters, e.g. when comment is posted

Reviewer – Access to Submission

• Reviewer has same level of access to a submission from the discussion as from their Reviewer folders

Mane	y M. Alexa	: JANETDEV120-D-15-00003 nder, PhD ed Theory"	
	Close		
Hi Reviewer! Please participate in the discussion for this submission		tomizable.) wer Comments	
Topic: Editors & Reviewers Forum Comments:			/iew/Print All
	Participant ▲ ▼	Comments	Date ▲ V
	Twink E. Jonesey, PhD	I will be on sabbatical for 4 months starting Feb 2, 2015	Dec 12 2014 04:45PM
	Ginger Rabelais (Reviewer 2)	I agree with Jorge	Dec 03 2014 11:05AM
Post	Jorge M. Garcia, PhD (Reviewer 1)	This a formidable task	Dec 03 2014 11:05AM
	Frank McDougall	This Corresponding Author for this submission is going on a research trip to Antarctica starting April 2015 and may be unavailable for periods of time (more)	Dec 03 2014 11:04AM
	Twink E. Jonesey, PhD	This one is on a short timetable.	Dec 01 2014 03:03PM

Differences Between Discussion Types





- Editor Consultation:
 - Multiple allowed per submission
 - Can be initiated automatically or manually
- Reviewer Consultation:
 - Only one per submission
 - Can only be initiated automatically



Editor Participant (who can 'View All Discussions' but not 'Manage' this one)

	Di	scussio	n for		ript Numbo da Frye Mo "Antarctio	cDouga	all, PhD)-D-15-0000!	5R2	
					Clos	se				
minding you to please p	participate in the dis	cussion for	this sub	mission. (I an	n customizable.)					
	Partici	oant Summa	iry	View Subr	nission <u>A</u> d	dd/Edit Sul	bmission Flags	Details 🕅 @	₩ Ø	
opic: Reviewers du Jo	our									
omments:										View/Print
					Participant ▲ ▼	Co	omments			Date ▲ V
					Mary Jones, P	hD He		es and I'm testing wh)	at happens when	a Jan 13 201 12:54PM
					Ginger Rabela (Reviewer 1)	ais Tal	ke it to the moun	tain.		Jan 12 2019 03:39PM
				Post	Twink E. Jones PhD	sey, He	y, I'm an EIC.			Jan 06 201 03:37PM
	Post				Edward M. Bro	owdy Tal	Take the A-Train			Jan 05 201 02:36PM
					Mary Jones, P	hD Let	t's roll!			Jan 04 2019 01:35PM
🗉 Editor Participar	nts									
Editor Participant		Role					Participant	View Reviews	Download	View Draft
A▼		▲ ▼		# Posts	Latest Post		Status ▲▼	and Comments	Files	Decision Letter
Mary Jones, PhD		Managing Ed	anaging Editor 1		Jan 13 2015 12:5	54PM	Active	✓	✓	✓
Twink E. Jonesey, PhD 🕅 # 💠		Editor-in-Ch	Chief 1 Ja		Jan 06 2015 03:37PM		Active 🗸			
rinne Er sonesey, rine					Jan 06 2015 03:3	37PM	Active	1	*	4
Edward M. Browdy 🕅	*	Associate Ed	itor	2	Jan 05 2015 02:3		Active Inactive	√ √	√	✓
			itor	2					*	√
Edward M. Browdy 🏹				2 t Post	Jan 05 2015 02:3 Participant Status		Inactive	√ lete Review itted for	✓ Status of Most I Review Assignr	Recent
Edward M. Browdy 🏹 🖻 Reviewer Partici Reviewer Participant	Role	Associate Ed		t Post 2015	Jan 05 2015 02:3 Participant Status	36PM Reviewer Number	Inactive Comp Subn Versi ▲▼	√ lete Review itted for	Status of Most I Review Assignn	Recent nent
Edward M. Browdy 🕅	ipants Role ▲ ▼	Associate Ed # Posts	Lates Jan 12	t Post 2015	Jan 05 2015 02:3 Participant Status ▲▼	36PM Reviewer Number ▲ ▼	Inactive Comp Subm Versi & T	√ lete Review itted for ons R0, R1, R2	Status of Most I Review Assignn ▲ ▼	Recent nent
Edward M. Browdy 🏹 🖻 Reviewer Partici Reviewer Participant <table-cell> 🔻 Ginger Rabelais 🎔</table-cell>	Role Reviewer	# Posts 1	Lates Jan 12	t Post 2015	Jan 05 2015 02:3	36PM Reviewer Number ▲ ♥ Review	Inactive	√ lete Review itted for ons R0, R1, R2	Status of Most I Review Assignn ▲ ▼ R2: Review Comp	Recent ment
Edward M. Browdy 🕅	Role Reviewer Reviewer	Associate Ed Posts 1 0 0	Lates Jan 12	t Post 2015	Jan 05 2015 02:1	36PM Reviewer Number Review Review	Inactive Comp Subn Versi wer 1 wer 2 wer 4	✓ Nete Review Litted for ons R0, R1, R2 R0 R1	Status of Most I Review Assignm R2: Review Comp R1: Declined	Recent nent plete w Saved
Editor Consultation – Features Unchanged

- Multiple 'Editor Consultation' discussions can be open at the same time for a submission
- Discussion initiators can manage these as usual:
 - Initiate a discussion manually
 - Add Editors as participants
 - Close and re-open discussions
- All participants can post comments



Reviewer Consultation - Automated

- Most of the management is done automatically!
 - Discussion is initiated (behind the scenes) when first Editor for a new submission is confirmed
 - When Editor is either assigned or agrees to handle submission
 - All Editors subsequently assigned to the submission are added automatically
 - All Reviewers assigned or who agree to submit a review are added automatically (as inactive)
 - Automatically concluded when Final Disposition is set (remains open throughout all revisions)

New Concept - Inactive /Active Participants

- All Reviewers assigned or who agree to submit a review are added as 'inactive' participants
- An inactive Reviewer has no access to the discussion yet, but Editors can see that the Reviewer has an open review assignment for the submission

Reviewer P								
Reviewer Participant ▲ ▼	Role ▲ ▼	# Posts	Latest Post	Participant Status ▲▼	Reviewer Number	Complete Review Submitted for Versions	Status of Most Recent Review Assignment ▲▼	
Jack Bauer 👑	Reviewer	1	Jan 06 2015 06:32PM	Active	Reviewer 1	RO	R0: Review Complete	Send E-mail
Ginger Rabelais	Reviewer	1	Jan 06 2015 03:02PM	Active	Reviewer 3	RO	R1: Agreed to Review	Send E-mail
Ron Raptor@	NB Reviewer	0		Active	Reviewer 2	R0, R1	R1: Review Complete	Send E-mail
George Rortoichais ₩	Statistical Reviewer	0		Inactive			R0: Terminated After	
Sylvia W. Verdi	Reviewer	0		Inactive			R1: Agreed to Review	

New Concept - Inactive / Active Participants

- When a Reviewer submits a complete review, the Reviewer becomes an 'active' participant and can access the discussion
 - Reviewers cannot be added manually

Reviewer P	articipants							
Reviewer Participant ▲ ♥	Role	# Posts	Latest Post	Participant Status	Reviewer Number	Complete Review Submitted for Versions	Status of Most Recent Review Assignment	
Jack Bauer 🍟	Reviewer	1	Jan 06 2015 06:32PM	Active	Reviewer 1	RO	R0: Review Complete	Send E-mail
Ginger Rabelais	Reviewer	1	Jan 06 2015 03:02PM	Active	Reviewer 3	RO	R1: Agreed to Review	Send E-mail
Ron Raptor@	NB Reviewer	0		Active	Reviewer 2	R0, R1	R1: Review Complete	Send E-mail
George Bortnichak 👑	Statistical Reviewer	0		Inactive			R0: Terminated After Agreeing to Review	
Sylvia W. Verdi	Reviewer	0		Inactive			R1: Agreed to Review	

Reviewer Participant Status

- Row 1 Jack *active* submitted R0 review
- Row 2 Ginger *active* submitted R0 review
- Row 3 Ron *active* submitted R0 review, remained *active* while reviewing R1
- Row 4 George *inactive* no reviews submitted
- Row 5 Sylvia *inactive* if submits R1 review, will become *active*

E Reviewer Pa	Reviewer Participants									
Reviewer Participant A V	Role ▲ ▼	# Posts	Latest Post	Participant Status ▲▼	Reviewer Number	Complete Review Submitted for Versions	Status of Most Recent Review Assignment			
Jack Bauer 👑	Reviewer	1	Jan 06 2015 06:32PM	Active	Reviewer 1	RO	R0: Review Complete	Send E-mai		
Ginger Rabelais 🝟	Reviewer	1	Jan 06 2015 03:02PM	Active	Reviewer 3	RO	R1: Agreed to Review	<u>Send E-mai</u>		
Ron Raptor@	NB Reviewer	0		Active	Reviewer 2	R0, R1	R1: Review Complete	Send E-mai		
George Bortnichak 🕍	Statistical Reviewer	0		Inactive			R0: Terminated After Agreeing to Review]		
Sylvia W. Verdi	Reviewer	0		Inactive			R1: Agreed to Review			

Reviewer Participants Grid

- Summarizes Reviewer information related to the submission (as well as the discussion)
 - Participant Status
 - Reviewer Number
 - Complete Review Submitted for Versions
 - Status of Most Recent Review Assignment

Reviewer Pa	orticipants							
Reviewer Participant ▲ ▼	Role	# Posts	Latest Post	Participant Status	Reviewer Number	Complete Review Submitted for Versions	Status of Most Recent Review Assignment	
Jack Bauer 👑	Reviewer	1	Jan 06 2015 06:32PM	Active	Reviewer 1	RO	R0: Review Complete	<u>Send E-mail</u>
Ginger Rabelais	Reviewer	1	Jan 06 2015 03:02PM	Active	Reviewer 3	RO	R1: Agreed to Review	<u>Send E-mail</u>
Ron Raptor@	NB Reviewer	0		Active	Reviewer 2	R0, R1	R1: Review Complete	Send E-mail
George Bortnichak 👑	Statistical Reviewer	0		Inactive			R0: Terminated After Agreeing to Review	
Sylvia W. Verdi	Reviewer	0		Inactive			R1: Agreed to Review	

Lifetime Participation

- Once Editors/Reviewers become active participants, they remain active participants for the lifetime of the submission
- If a submission is closed and re-opened, new Reviewers can be added to the discussion manually to catch back up to the same state as if the discussion had not been closed

Expandable/Collapsible Participant Grids

Discussion for Manuscript Number: JANETDEV120-D-15-00003R1 Maney M. Alexander, PhD "Ultraviolet Photons"							
	Cancel	Save and Close	Conclude Discussion				
Reminding you to please participate in t	the discussion for this submissi	on. (I am customizable	e.)				
Participant Summary	View Submission V	/iew Reviews and Com		15			
		Details 🏹 🤕 🧭	8				
Торіс:							
Editors & Reviewers Confab							
Common too				View/Print Al			
Comments:		Participant ▲ ▼	Comments	Date ▲▼			
			That's an excellent suggestion. Who can we get to implement this?	Jan 07 201 10:49AM			
		Jack Bauer (Reviewer 1)	I agree with Ginger	Jan 06 201 06:32PM			
	Post	Ginger Rabelais (Reviewer 3)	As a frequent reviewer of this kind of article, I recommend	Jan 06 201 03:02PM			
		Twink E. Jonesey, PhD	Big Dog here, perhaps one of the Reviewers has a good idea about this.	Jan 05 201 01:22PM			
		Mary Jones, PhD	Okay, what do you think of the current issue, Twinky? AKA Big Dog?	Jan 05 201 01:02PM			
		Add Participants					
		Add Fardeparte					
Editor Participants							
Reviewer Participants							
				_			
	Cancel	Save and Close	Conclude Discussion				

Editor Participant who can Manage a discussion



Editor Can Add Editor Participants

	Page: 1 e	of 1 (7 total cand	idates)					Display	y 25 💌 results	per page.	
elec	t ditor Role	Editor	Name		Current Assignments	View Reviews and Comments	Download Files(source and s companion)	View Draft Decision Letter	# Classification Matches	Classification Matches	Available during ne 30 days
	A sociate Editor	Edward	M. Browdy	7 🝟	16				3	1, 2, 3	Yes
	Guest Editor (E)		arcello 🕅		0				<u>0</u>		Yes
✓	E itor-In-Chief ('his is Editor number the previous version) ('his editor is already a signed to the curren s bmission) ('urrent participant in d scussion)	r 1 of 🍟 🙂 🕴 , nt	. Jonesey, Pł # 🛧	<u>10</u> 🕅	61	•	~	*	1	5	Yes
	E litor-In-Chief	Karen M	lulligan 🕅		5				2	2, 3	Yes
	E itor-In-Chief		ip Buddy Sw	atski,	1				2	2, 3	Yes
	Associate Editor (E)	Ling Lan			0				<u>0</u>		Yes
~	Managing Editor (Current participant in discussion)	Mar. 14	nes, PhD 🕅	٢	2	~	*	~	2	4, 5	Yes
	Page: 1	L of 1 (7 total can	didates)					Display	25 💌 results p	er page.	
Re	viewer Candidates										
lect	Reviewer Participant ▲ ▼	Role ▲ ▼	# Posts	Lates	5		Reviewer Number ▲ ▼	Complete Re Submitted for Versions	or Stat	us of Most Rece iew Assignment	
1	Jack Bauer 👑	Reviewer	1	Jan 06 06:32		Active	Reviewer 1	RO	RO: F	Review Complete	
1	Ginger Rabelais 👑	Reviewer	1	Jan 06 03:02		Active	Reviewer 3	RO	R1: 4	Agreed to Review	
1	Ron Raptor	NB Reviewer	0			Active	Reviewer 2	R0, F	R1 R1: F	Review Complete	
	George Bortnichak 🝟	Statistical Reviewer	0			Inactive			RO: 1 Revie	Terminated After A ew	Agreeing to
	Sylvia W. Verdi 👑	Reviewer	0			Inactive			01.4	Agreed to Review	

Add Participants without Sending Letters

Cancel

Proceed To Customize Letters

Multi-Role User

- If a user is associated with a submission as both an Editor and a Reviewer, she is added as a participant with both roles, and may participate in the discussion as either role
 - She can post comments to the discussion as her currently-logged in role.



User is both Editor and Reviewer of Submission



Configuration

- Step 1 Configure Topic Template
- Step 2 Configure Automatic Discussion Initiation
 - By Article Type
- Step 3 Configure Custom Instructions
- Step 4 Configure Letters for Reviewers

Step 1 – Configure a Topic Template

- Any number of Reviewer Consultation topic templates can be created
- Similar to configuration for an Editor Consultation topic template



Adding a 'Reviewer Consultation' Topic Template

- Select *Discussion Topic Template Type* at top
- Fields related to manual discussion initiation disabled
- New '*Reviewer Discussion Letters*' section

There are two types of discussions: slightly for the two types. (more)	Editor Consultation and Reviewer Consultation. The set of configuration options di				
Discussion Topic Template Type	e Reviewer Consultation 💌				
	Open SpecialCharacter Pa				
Discussion Topic Template Name	Find Qualified Reviewers				
'Initiate Discussion' Page Custom Instructions					
Торіс	Suggestions for Good Reviewers				
	Allow user to modify Topic name when initiating a discussion				
Initial Comments	This discussion allows all Editors and Reviewers assigned to a submission to discuss it over the lifetime of the submission.				
	Allow user to modify Initial Comments when initiating a discussion				
Default Editor Participant Permissions	 View Reviews and Comments Download Files (source and companion) View Draft Decision Letter 				
Editor Access to `Details' Link	Display 'Details' link in Submissions with Active Discussions folder and on Discussion page. Select the Details Page Layout that participants should see if they are not in the Editor chain and do not have RoleManager permission to 'View All Submissions' 'Search All Manuscripts.'				
	Editorial Kitchen Sink				
Editor Discussion Letters	Select the Letter to send asking Editors to participate: Editor - You have been added to a discussion				
	Select the notification letter to send to Editors when comments are posted: Editor - New Comment Posted to Discussion				
	Notify discussion initiator only Notify all active Editor participants				
Reviewer Discussion Letters	Select the Letter to send asking Reviewers to participate:				
	Reviewer - You have been added to a discussion				
	Select the notification letter to send to Reviewers when comments are posted: Reviewer - New Comment Posted to Discussion				

Configure Automatic Discussion Initiation

- As Article Type/Discussion Trigger combinations
- For a Reviewer Consultation discussion, go to the intersection of the desired Article Type and the new column "First Editor Confirmed", select the option, and select a Reviewer Consultation Topic Template

In the grid below, for based on a Discussion	Configure Automatic Discussion each Article Type, you may specify the types of discussions on Trigger. (more) Cancel Submit	
Discussion Trigger Article Type	Editor Agrees to Assignment	First Editor Confirmed
Original Study	 Initiate Editor Consultation Discussion Automatically Editor Roles: Select All Clear All Associate Editor Editor-In-Chief Everything Editor Guest Editor Editor Consultation Topic Templates: Please Choose a Topic Template 	 Initiate Reviewer Consultation Discussion Automatically Reviewer Consultation Topic Templates: HASS Editor & Reviewer Confab

Step 3 – Configure Custom Instructions

 Separate instructions for Editors and Reviewers



 Instructions display on the Discussion page



Merge Fields for Reviewer Consultations

%REVIEWER_DISCUSSION_DEEP_LINK% - new deep link

• Logs Reviewer into EM, navigates to the *Completed Reviews* folder, and opens the *Discussion* page for the submission's Reviewer Consultation discussion

Letter Body:	Insert Image Insert Custom Merge Field Insert System Merge Field
Ref.: Ms. No. %MS_NUME	BER%
<pre>%ARTICLE_TITLE%</pre>	
<pre>\$JOURNALFULLTITLE\$</pre>	
Dear %TITLE% %LAST_NAMM	٤%,
Thank you for reviewing	g this manuscript.
To view the Reviewer PI	DF, click here:
<pre>\$VIEW REVIEWER_PDF%</pre>	
To participate in a dis	scussion with the Editors and Reviewers of this
submission, click here:	:
SREVIEWER DISCUSSION DE	EEP LINK%
	-
Kind regards,	
SEDITOR NAMES	
SEDITOR ROLES	
&JOURNAL URL&	
-	

Merge Fields for Reviewer Consultations

%DISCUSSION_POST% - existing deep link now populates for Reviewers as well as Editors

Letter Body:	Insert Custom Merge Field Insert System Merge F	Field
Hello %TITLE% %LAST_NAME%,		-
Greeting: %GREETING%,		
A new comment has been posed to %MS_NUMBER%: %ARTICLE_TITLE% %CORRAUTHOR%	o the discussion for this manuscript	
<pre>%JOURNALFULLTITLE%</pre>		Ξ
Click here to access the discus %DISCUSSION_POST%	ssion:	
Looking forward to your feedbac	ck.	
\$JOURNAL URL\$		
username: %USERNAME%		
password: %PASSWORD		
Regards		-

Step 4a – Configure Reviewer Letters



New merge field %*REVIEWER_DISCUSSION_DEEP_LINK*% available for these four types of letters:

- 'Review Assignment Completed' letter
 - Sent when Reviewer submits a complete review
 - This is the Reviewer's invitation to the discussion
- *Reviewer Notification* letter
 - Sent when an Author Notification letter is sent (Author is notified of a decision)
- *Discussion notification* letter
 - Sent when a comment is posted
- *Discussion invitation* letter
 - Sent if a closed discussion is re-opened

Step 4b – Configure Editor/Reviewer Letters

- For Reviewer letters, include the new deep link
 - %REVIEWER_DISCUSSION_DEEP_LINK%
- For Editor letters, include the existing deep link
 - %DISCUSSION_DEEP_LINK%
- For both Reviewers and Editors, include the existing deep link
 - %DISCUSSION_POST%

Possible Future Enhancements for Reviewer Consultation Discussions

• Initiate manually



- Allow than one Reviewer Consultation discussion for a submission
- Allow Reviewers not associated with a submission to be added
- Add Reviewers as 'active' participants before they complete a review (for Open Peer Review workflows)

Discussion Time!

- Questions
- Feedback





The End!

